Data analyses and model simulations have recently indicated that as the planet is warming, the chance for extreme events increases. Karl et al. [1995] examined precipitation records over the 20th century and showed that the high-frequency (up to interannual) variability has increased. Subsequently, Tsonis [1996] showed that the low-frequency variability has also increased. These variability trends indicate that the frequency of extremes (more drought events and more heavy precipitation events) has increased whereas the mean has remained approximately the same. Such a tendency is observed with other variables and is consistent with model projections of a warmer planet.

A tendency for increased extremes is often translated as increased randomness, simply because the fluctuations increase. Strictly speaking, however, this is incorrect. An increase in the extremes affects the probability distribution of a random variable, but the variable is still random and thus is equally unpredictable. This is in agreement with the Chaitin-Kolmogorov-Solomonoff complexity definition of randomness [Crastl, 1998]. According to this definition, the degree of randomness of a given sequence is determined by the length of the computer program written to reproduce it. If the program involves as many steps as the length of the sequence, then the sequence is called maximally random. Random sequences generated from probability distributions are all equally maximally random because their values appear with no particular order or repetition, regardless of the form of the distribution. As such, to describe such sequences one must write a program that involves as many steps as the length of the sequence. It follows that changes in the degree of randomness cannot be assessed by changes in the probability distribution. Changes in the degree of randomness can only be probed by changes in the dynamical properties of a system with complex behavior. If the dynamics change, the system may become more (less) complex, which will imply that a longer (shorter) program will be needed to describe it.

Changes in Predictability

A common element in any definition of randomness is unpredictability. Simply, a process is random if we cannot predict it. If changes in global temperature affect the degree of randomness in the climate system, then predictability is affected.
should vary according to temperature trends. Toward this end it is helpful to consider a strong signal of our climate system with demonstrated complex structure, and investigate its predictability as the global temperature varies. A good candidate is El Niño/Southern Oscillation (ENSO). Because of the established nonlinear character of ENSO and its connection to global dynamics, it represents an excellent candidate to empirically investigate the relation between predictability and global temperature.

Dynamically speaking, predictability is equal to the inverse of the Kolmogorov entropy (K). The Kolmogorov entropy is equal to the sum of all the positive Lyapunov exponents. Positive Lyapunov exponents relate to the divergence of nearby states at a specific location in the attractor. Thus, changes in predictability of ENSO can be assessed by probing the local structure of the attractor (or the local Lyapunov exponents) along the trajectory generated by the Southern Oscillation Index (SOI) [Abarbanel et al., 1991].

For SOI it is found [Tsonis and Elsner, 1997] that there exist two positive exponents. Their sum ranges from a minimum of about 0.3 to a maximum of about 0.5 months' (Figure 1 top). A careful examination of Figure 1 (top) reveals striking similarities with global temperature records. It exhibits an overall positive trend with the following features: a decrease up to about 1905, a steady increase up to about 1940, a subsequent decrease up to about 1970, and a rise afterward. Such features are identified in almost all global temperature records including, for example, the global marine air temperature record [Newell et al., 1989] (Figure 1 bottom). The two signals in Figure 1 correlate highly but this could be due to the presence of the overall slight positive trends. However, coherence analysis [Tsonis and Elsner, 1997] has established that the residuals of the detrended time series are coherent with high confidence for all frequencies less than 0.25 cycles/yr. Even though the two signals may differ at short scales, their oscillatory components at low frequencies are linearly related, which means that warmer temperatures correspond to higher K values or to lower predictability.

It is concluded that as the global temperature increases, predictability decreases. According to the definition of randomness, this result indicates that as the global temperature increases, the randomness of the climate system increases as well. The physical mechanism behind this relation can be understood in terms of a subsystem of the climate system (ENSO) and its connectivity to global temperature [Tsonis et al., 2003].

Climate Networks

A network is a system of interacting agents. In the literature an agent is called a node. The nodes in a network can be anything. In a network of actors, the nodes are actors who are connected to other actors if they have appeared together in a movie. In a network of species, the nodes are species that are connected to other species they interact with. In the network of scientists, the nodes are scientists who are connected to other scientists, if they have collaborated.

There are four basic types of networks.

(1) Regular, or ordered, networks are those with a fixed number of nodes; each node having the same number of links connecting it in a specific way to a number of neighboring nodes (Figure 2a). These networks exhibit a high degree of local clustering, meaning that connecting two faraway nodes requires many steps.

(2) In classical random networks [Erdős and Rényi, 1960], the nodes are connected at random (Figure 2b). In this case the degree distribution is a Poisson distribution (the degree distribution, \( p_k \), gives the probability that a node in the network is connected to \( k \) other nodes). In random networks, connecting far-away nodes requires only a few steps.

(3) A "small-world" network is a superposition of regular and classical random graphs. Such networks exhibit a high degree of local clustering, but they also have a small number of random long-range links (Figure 2c). These random links help connect faraway nodes with only a few steps [Watts and Strogatz, 1998]. Both random and small-world networks are rather homogeneous networks in which each node has approximately the same number of links \( <k_0 \). Both have nearly Poisson degree distributions that peak at \( <k_0 \) and decay exponentially for large \( k \).

(4) Networks with a given degree distribution are ones that have a degree distribution other than Poisson. The most interesting and common of such networks are the so-called scale-free networks, in which the degree distribution is the power law \( p_k \sim k^{-\gamma} \) (Figure 2d). Like a map showing an airline's routes, this network has a few hubs connecting to many other points (supernodes) and many points connected to only a few other points. Such a map is highly clustered, yet one can move from one point to another faraway point with just a few connections. As such, this network has the property of small-world networks.

Scale-free networks have properties of small-world networks, but the small-world network of Watts and Strogatz is not scale-free [Barabási and Bonabeau, 2003].

The networks can be either fixed, where the number of nodes and links remains the same, or evolving, where nodes and links may be added or eliminated. Whatever the type of network, its underlying topology provides clues about the collective dynamics of the network. The structural properties of networks are provided by the clustering coefficient \( C \) and the characteristic path length (or diameter) \( L \) of the network. The clustering coefficient is defined as follows: For each node we assume a number of neighbors \( k \). Then at most \( C_{\max} = k(k-1)/2 \) connections can exist between them. We then find the number of actual connections and we calculate \( C' = C/C_{\max} \). The average \( C' \) over all nodes provides \( C \). The diameter of the network is defined by the average number of connections needed to connect any two nodes in the network. Graph theory predicts that for classical random networks \( L_{\max} = \ln n/\ln <d> \) and \( C_{\max} = cd/n \), where \( cd/n \) is the average number of connections per node [Watts and Strogatz, 1998]. The small-world property requires that \( C > C_{\max} \) and \( L < L_{\max} \). From these conditions it follows that if a network is changing.

Fig. 2. Example of (a) an ordered network, (b) a random network, (c) a "small-world" network, and (d) a scale-free network (adapted from Watts and Strogatz [1998] and Strogatz [2001]).
in time in a way that \( C \) and \( L \) decrease, then the network approaches the classical random limit (i.e., its degree of randomness increases).

Tsonis and Roebber [2004] applied these ideas to a climate network using 500-hPa data in the period 1948–1999. The nodes of the networks were points arranged in a 5° x 5° grid. Any two points were assumed as connected if the correlation between their corresponding time series was above a statistically significant threshold. From all possible 3,547,116 pairs, about 350,000 were found to be connected. For this network it was estimated that \( L=2.7, \ C=0.69, \) and \(<k_x>=170\). For a random network with the same specifications (number of nodes, and average links per node), it is estimated that \( L_{\text{ran}}=1.5 \) and \( C_{\text{ran}}=0.08\). These values indicate that indeed \( L>L_{\text{ran}} \) and \( C>C_{\text{ran}}\).

(by a factor of about 9). Thus, this global network appears to have the small-world property.

There are some interesting implications of the climate system having small-world properties (for more details, see Tsonis and Roebber [2004]). However, the relevant issue is that if \( L \) and \( C \) decrease in time, then the network's degree of randomness increases. The 52-year period used in this preliminary study can be divided into two distinct periods, each 26 years long. One is the 1948–1973 period and the other the 1974–1999 period. During the first period, the global temperature shows no significant overall trend. During the second period, a very strong positive trend is present.

Does this change in the global property of the system affect the dynamics of the network? To answer this question, \( C \) and \( L \) for the two periods were estimated. It was found that \( C \) is about 5% smaller and \( L \) is about 4% smaller in the second period. This result will indicate that during the warming of the planet the network has acquired more long-range connections and fewer small-range connections. This is shown in Figure 3, which indicates the distribution of the connections according to their distance. The thick line represents the distribution in the first period, and the thin line the distribution in the second period. Figure 3 shows that the frequency of long-range connections (>7500 km) has increased whereas the frequency of shorter-range connections (2500–7500 km) has decreased. One may argue that visually these differences are not impressive, but that with a network having hundreds of thousands of connections these distributions are statistically different at the 99% confidence level (according to the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test) or at the 95% confidence level (from bootstrapping; see Figure 3 inset). A tendency for smaller \( C \) and \( L \) implies that the network is becoming more random.

Therefore, this analysis indicates that as the global temperature increases, the properties of the climate network tend to the properties of a network with increased degree of randomness. A possible mechanism that explains this result and ties it with the first analysis is that a warmer planet makes the large scales more coherent (as temperature increases at all places). At the same time, fluctuations at small scales increase, thereby decreasing short-range correlations. This follows from thermodynamic arguments: the higher the temperature of the system, the larger the fluctuations in the system.
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